THE THERMAL STABILITY OF EXO AND ENDO TRICYCLO[3.2.1.0^{2,4}]OCT-6-ENES AND THEIR RELEVANCE TO THE CYCLO-ADDITION OF CYCLOPROPENES TO CYCLOPENTADIENE.

Charles W. Jefford, Jean-Claude E. Gehret, Jiri Mareda, nTanda Kabengele, W. David Graham und Ulrich Burger, Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Geneva, 1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland.

(Received in UK 14 January 1975; accepted for publication 31 January 1975)

As a general rule, cyclopropanes undergo cyclo-addition with cyclopentadiene (<u>1</u>) with various degrees of ease to give exclusively the <u>endo</u> adduct¹. Exceptions are few, and a glaring one is that reported for 1,2-bis(trifluoromethyl)-3,3-difluorocyclopropene (<u>2</u>) (Fig. 1). Reaction with cyclopentadiene at -78° gave two 1:1 adducts in a ratio of 69 to 31. The major adduct was assigned the <u>exo</u> structure <u>3</u> and assumed to be the kinetic product since on gentle heating it progressively isomerized to the minor adduct of <u>endo</u> configuration <u>4</u>². Further heating of the purported <u>endo</u> isomer <u>4</u> at 200° resulted in its conversion to the tetracyclic isomer <u>5</u>.

It is now evident from our experience with molecules of types $\underline{3}$ and $\underline{4}$, prepared by the carbene route³, that the above configurational assignments are in error and should be reversed.

The generation of difluorocarbene at 20° in the presence of norbornadiene (<u>6</u>) gave rise to three 1:1 adducts⁴. The formation of the homo-1,4 adduct <u>7</u> constitutes a possible first example of the cheletropic addition of a carbene to a homo-conjugated diene⁵. However, what is important here is that it proved possible to separate the <u>exo</u> and <u>endo</u> adducts <u>8</u> and <u>9</u> by working carefully at low temperature and to examine their thermal behaviour.

823



Fig. 1. Structures assigned to adducts obtained from cyclopentadiene and 1,2-bis-(trifluoromethy1)-3,3-difluorocyclopropene (ref. 2).

+

10



6







The crucial task of structure assignment is based on the analysis of the 19 F and 1 H NMR spectra and on comparison with the results obtained from 7-methylnorbornadiene $(\underline{11})^{6}$. Once again, the addition of difluorocarbene at low temperature gives the mechanistically significant homo-1,4 adduct $\underline{12}$, a single 1,3 exo adduct $\underline{13}$, but two endo 1,2 adducts $\underline{14}$ and $\underline{15}$.



 $+ \qquad \begin{array}{c} CH_{3} \\ + \\ F \\ 14 \end{array} + \\ F \\ 15 \end{array}$

Apart from the conventional deductive spectral analysis, the clue which permitted identification of the <u>endo</u> fused cyclopropane structure is the longrange coupling, ${}^{5}J_{FH} = 8.5$ Hz, which characterizes the double zig-zag arrangement of sigma bonds (Fig. 2). Only the <u>endo</u> structures <u>9</u> and <u>15</u>, and significantly the purported "exo" structure <u>3</u> display this coupling. Where and when this zig-zag motif is absent, in the <u>endo</u> adduct <u>14</u> and the authentic <u>exo</u> adducts <u>8</u> and <u>13</u> and also in <u>4</u>, then no such coupling is observed⁷. It is inter esting to note in this respect that both the homo-1,4 adducts <u>7</u> and <u>12</u> possess the zig-zag feature and consequently display a coupling of 9.8 Hz between the single bridgehead proton and the appropriate apotropic fluorine atom.



Fig. 2. Double zig-zag motif for ${}^{5}J_{uv}$ coupling.

Gentle heating (60 to 80°) of the <u>endo</u> adducts <u>9</u> and <u>14</u> converts them stereospecifically to the <u>exo</u> isomers <u>8</u> and <u>13</u> respectively. However, the <u>syn</u> disposed methyl group ensures that the <u>endo</u> adduct <u>15</u> remains stable to 120°. Further heating of the <u>exo</u> adduct <u>8</u> at 225° gives the tetracyclic isomer 10.

The results clearly demonstrate that claims for \underline{exo} addition of cyclopropene to cyclopentadiene and molecules of similar structure must be treated with caution⁸.

REFERENCES

- K.B. Wiberg & W.J. Bartley, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. <u>82</u>, 6375 (1960); M.A. Battiste, Tetrahedron Lett. 3795 (1964); G.L. Closs, L.E. Closs & W.A. Böll, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. <u>85</u>, 3796 (1963); G.L. Closs in "Advances in Alicyclic Chemistry", Vol. 1, H. Hart & G.J. Karabatsos, Ed. Academic Press Inc., New York, N.Y. 1966, Chap. 2; W.C. Herndon & L.H. Hall, Tetrahedron Lett. 3095 (1967); D.C.F. Law & S.W. Tobey, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. <u>90</u>, 2376 (1968).
- 2. P.B. Sargeant, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 91, 3061 (1969).
- C.W. Jefford, S. Mahajan, J. Waslyn & B. Waegell, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. <u>87</u>, 2183 (1965); C.W. Jefford & D.T. Hill, Tetrahedron Lett. 1957 (1969).
- 4. D.J. Burton & D.G. Naae, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 95, 8467 (1973).
- 5. C.W. Jefford, nT. Kabengele, J. Kovacs & U. Burger, Tetrahedron Lett. 257 (1974); Helv. Chim. Acta <u>57</u>, 104 (1974).
- 6. P.R. Story & S.R. Fahrenholtz, J. Org. Chem. 28, 1717 (1963).
- 7. K. Tori & M. Ohtsuru, Chem. Comm. 886 (1966); C.W. Jefford, D.T. Hill, L. Ghosez, S. Toppet & K.C. Ramey, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. <u>91</u>, 1532 (1962).
- 8. M.L. Deem, Synthesis 675 (1972).